WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MS. POWERS

- A. I believe it's based on federal pre-emption, yeah.
- Q. You do discuss somewhat in your rebuttal testimony the CMRS designation that Western Wireless falls under. Is that -- could you be a little more specific? I guess the concern that I have is the offering that Western Wireless will be making, is it more in the nature of a fixed or mobile offering for universal service?
- A. It's definitely -- well, both. Physically and legally, it's a mobile offering. Physically, the wireless access unit that the customer will have in their home and I have one, by the way, to show if there is interest in that is mobile. It can be picked up, placed in the car, rolled down the road and taken to the barn. And so it has mobility, a mobility component to it, which is extremely attractive to our customers. In addition, both the FCC and this Commission have acknowledged that the CMRS designation falls on that service and on this carrier regardless of whether it's the use of this handset (indicating) or the use of a wireless access unit of the type that we deploy. So by both definition and physically, it is definitely a mobile service offering.
- Q. Do you or could your attorney provide a specific reference to a FCC document on that?
 - A. On --

Q. If you have that on the FCC CMRS designation,

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MS. POWERS either specifically for Western Wireless or generally? I believe I cited to it in my testimony. I think you cited to something and I don't recall exactly where it was now, but maybe I can find it here, where I think you said --Page eight. Α. -- something that I was wondering about. I think I wasn't clear whether the FCC had issued a final order on that or whether it was notice of a proposed rulemaking or exactly what you were talking about. It's an order actually. If you look at page eight of my rebuttal testimony. Okay. And that's in the universal service order 0. generally that we are talking about? MR. AYOTTE: Excuse me, Ms. Powers. If I could, I think what you are referring to is the reference to the FCC's CMRS flexibility proceeding which is referenced on page 11 of -- page 11 of Mr. Blundell's testimony. MS. POWERS: Yes. MR. AYOTTE: Or you may be talking about the North Dakota order which is attached as an exhibit. MS. POWERS: No, I was not talking about the North Dakota order, although I know there is that reference in there. But I was wondering about specifically the one that

was referenced that there didn't seem to be a final order

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MS. POWERS from the FCC on that one. 1 The order to which I referred was found, indeed 2 3 found on page 11 of my rebuttal testimony. And it's the flexibility service offerings order, First Report and Order 4 in that FCC docket in which the FCC stated, and I will quote, 5 "There is a rebuttable presumption that any wireless service 6 7 provided under a CMRS provider's license would be considered 8 to come within the definition of CMRS and consequently regulated as a CMRS." 9 MS. POWERS: I would request that that order be 10 made available to Commission Staff. 11 12 MR. AYOTTE: Absolutely. MS. POWERS: 13 Thank you. Okay. Now, you said you had this unit here with 14 Q. you that will be placed in the home? 15 Yes, I do. 16 Α. Do I understand correctly, this is just surmise on 17 Q. my part, that if you have one of those units in the house, 18 you can actually still have phones in several locations or do 19 you have one unit, one phone, or can you have a phone in the 20 kitchen and the bedroom and downstairs and what have you? 21 There are also -- I'm under the understanding there 22 Α. 23 is a capability to use multiple phones from either this or a slightly modified version of the unit that I have. 24

25

Q.

Okay.

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MS. POWERS

CHAIR WINE: Excuse me. Can we go ahead and have him show it to us now so we will know what your questions are about?

- A. Sure. (Indicating). If it's okay, I will just put it on the podium here.
 - Q. Okay.

THE WITNESS: If it's all right, I can tell the Commission a little bit more about the unit.

CHAIR WINE: Yes, why don't you go ahead and do that now before we have further questions. If you could just give us a demonstration about the unit.

THE WITNESS: This is a wireless access unit that's manufactured by a company by the name of Telular. It's a three watt, three watt phone. So it has approximately six times the power output of a handset. It has the capability of simulating a dial tone, so that's the other difference with the handset. The handset doesn't create dial tone. And this unit does so that when a phone is plugged into this unit, you actually hear, just as you would on a land line phone, you would hear dial tone first and then dial the number. It has jacks for data and facsimile and those can both be plugged into the unit. It has eight hours of battery backup. It has -- it has its own internal battery, so if the power goes out the unit continues to work for eight hours. It can be plugged in and is expected sort of

BLUNDELL EXAM BY MS. POWERS WITNESS: typically to be plugged into the wall but certainly doesn't have to be. As you can see, it has a fairly standard cellular antenna on the back end of it. The advantage of the three WATS of power is that the unit grabs a lot more signal than does a handset like this. The radio signal that cell towers put out is ubiquitous and doesn't change. And these units simply pick up and transmit differently. So if our coverage -- and we have shown that in the testimony. If our coverage is laid over a particular geographic area, this unit is certain to pick it up. And again, if not, we have established certain backup procedures in the event that it doesn't. But we don't expect that to happen within the coverage area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR WINE: Excuse me. Before you go on, could you show what handset is used?

THE WITNESS: Oh, sure. It's a -- you just, you would plug in your own home phone, the phone that you use that you plug into the wall. And that could either be a cordless phone or a princess phone or a typical phone that you buy at Walmart.

MR. AYOTTE: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. But he can't see the back side of the unit.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure which is the front and which is the back. But as you can see, it's got a couple of different jacks and then a power output here (indicating).

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MS. POWERS 1 But it has the standard RJ11 jacks that you plug the phone 2 that you currently use at home. CHAIR WINE: And I think this might have been the 3 4 question that Ms. Powers asked, but are there extensions? You can do your own inside wiring from that or utilize just 5 the inside wiring and have extensions? 6 THE WITNESS: You could. You could either use 7 splitters or, as I said, I believe there is another unit 8 that's sort of more wired at the unit that has an additional 9 10 jack or two. I have been told that that unit exists. 11 CHAIR WINE: Thank you. You mentioned that you can pick the unit up and 12 0. 13 take it with you. What's its range of mobility? How far can 14 you go from your residence and still make phone calls using -- and receive phone calls using that unit? 15 16 The same, to the same extent that you can that this Α. 17 is a mobile (indicating). 18 Q. Okay. 19 MR. AYOTTE: For the record, Mr. Blundell, would 20 you explain what "this" is? My apologies. The wireless access unit has the 21 Α. 22 same mobility as the conventional mobile handset, with the 23 exception that, and I'm not sure which way your question is 24 going, but as I explained earlier, this will actually have

a -- I mean, it will pick up signal further from a cell site.

WITNESS: EXAM BY MS. POWERS BLUNDELL 1 than will the handset. Q. Okay. 2 Because of the size of the amplifier. Α. 3 COMMISSIONER CLAUS: I have a question. Does that 4 mean you could put this unit in your car and drive all over 5 the country? Is that what you are saying? 6 7 THE WITNESS: It does. It does mean that. Because 8 of the battery limitation, you certainly couldn't do that. 9 But it's designed, as I say, it's very similar to the It's actually very similar to the early bag phones 10 that were three watt phones that came out initially. 11 degree of roaming, same degree of mobility. 12 13 COMMISSIONER CLAUS: Who owns the equipment, is 14 that Western Wireless or --THE WITNESS: It's customer premises equipment. 15 Ι believe the customer will own it. We don't charge the 16 17 customer for the unit. COMMISSIONER CLAUS: Beyond your installation? 18 19 THE WITNESS: That's right. 20 Now, as an eligible telecommunications carrier, if Q. 21 Western Wireless receives that designation for rural service 22 territory or for any area for that matter, you would agree 23 with me that Western Wireless is required to -- would be 24 required to provide service throughout the service territory. 25 Is that correct?

EXAM BY MS. POWERS WITNESS: BLUNDELL 1 Α. That's correct, throughout the designated service 2 areas. 3 Q. And it would be a matter of providing all of the 4 supported services. Is that right? 5 Α. That's correct. 6 Q. Okay. And the carrier of last resort issue has 7 been raised in this docket. Could you tell me from Western 8 Wireless' perspective what's the difference really in the 9 requirement of a carrier of last resort and an eliqible 10 telecommunications carrier? 11 My understanding is that the obligations on the Α. 12 carrier are very very similar, primarily being the obligation 13 to serve every comer within the designated service area and that's what we plan to do. 14 15 So do you see any real difference between the two? Q. 16 Α. That -- no. I'm not familiar with the precise Kansas COLR obligations but, generally speaking, that's the 17 18 sort of, that's the general difference between COLR obligations and ETC obligations. 19 20 Q. But the basic obligation is that you have to serve 21

- anybody in the service territory?
 - Α. Yes.

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you.
- And that's what we plan to do. Α.
- Q. Can you use, can Western Wireless' service be

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MS. POWERS provided in a health care facility?

A. Yes.

- Q. What's been the -- I have seen concern expressed about that issue. What is the concern on that issue?
- A. There is no concern at the FCC. The concern might be from individual health care facilities who have a concern about interference. I have heard it expressed that way between wireless units and the monitoring units that they may have in their facilities. We have been assured that there are no such problems. So from our perspective, there is no reason that this unit couldn't be used in a health care facility.
- Q. If a health care facility were to have concerns about it, are there measures that can be taken to alleviate that or --
- A. We would definitely undertake to work with that facility and see if there are alternatives, either in the placement of the unit or in any other measures that would make them feel more comfortable. But again, there is -- we would also undertake to reassure them that the unit doesn't cause problems that they are concerned about.
- Q. Has Western Wireless to date been declared an ETC in rural areas in any other state?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you tell me where?

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MS. POWERS 1 Right. We have been, Western has been declared an 2 ETC in the rural areas in Minnesota. And to date that's the 3 only designation. 4 Q. Okay. Has Western Wireless been denied ETC status 5 in rural areas in any states? 6 Α. Yes. 7 In which ones have those? Q. 8 A. Most recently in the state of North Dakota. 9 Okay. And are you aware of any others? Q. 10 Α. Not on -- no, not on the merits, no, huh-uh. 11 Q. So on what basis? 12 MR. AYOTTE: Ms. Powers, there are several 13 decisions pending. I think that's what he was referring to. 14 It hasn't been decided on the merits yet. It hasn't been 15 granted; it hasn't been denied. But I would be happy to provide you and everyone else --16 17 MS. POWERS: That was my next question. 18 CHAIR WINE: I think we are going to want a copy of I thought he meant the issue whether they have been preempted for state authority. But the Commission would like to have that sorted out by tomorrow. THE WITNESS: We can certainly provide copies of those orders. MS. POWERS: I think I'm done if I may just have a moment to check here. No, I'm not quite.

19

20

21

22

23

24

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MS. POWERS

- Q. There has also been concern expressed and, frankly, I don't think Staff knows enough about this, this is why I'm asking the question, regarding access to high speed data.

 And you would agree with me that the, that broad band service is not a supported offering. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct, I would agree.

- Q. Okay. But I think, would you also agree with me that there is definite interest in the country and I would go so far as to say that a strong push from congress, the FCC and others to make sure that, certainly from the state legislature here in Kansas, to make sure that high speed services are available in the rural areas?
 - A. I don't doubt that that's an objective.
- Q. Okay. Can Western Wireless provide high speed services to its universal service customers?
- A. This unit, I have been told, provides a data speed of approximately 9600 to 14.4, 14,400 bits per second. It's not a supported service, high speed data or data speeds of any kind really at all are identified as a supported service. On the other hand, Western Wireless does hold several broad band LMDS licenses, is a fairly wide-scale holder of those services. They haven't been deployed yet, but we have the spectrum to be able to provide those services.
- Q. So is that something that is in the plans for the future to provide that in areas where Western Wireless is

EXAM BY MS. POWERS BLUNDELL WITNESS: providing service? 1 It's in the plans. There isn't a specific plan 2 that I could identify for you today that in the X number of 3 days that LMDS will be deployed. But there is certainly a 4 plan to use that spectrum. 5 But within the range that you mentioned, 9600 to 6 14.4, if a customer has that unit, the customer could obtain 7 the service within that range. Is that right? 8 Could obtain --Α. 9 O. The service? 10 -- data speeds? Α. 11 12 Q. Yes. I have been told that that's the range of data 13 speeds for this unit. 14 Ο. Okay. 15 MS. POWERS: Thank you. I have no further 16 17 questions. CHAIR WINE: Unless it's your intention to 18 cross-examine in the next two or three minutes, why don't we 19 take a break for lunch and return here at about 1:10. We are 20 recessed. 21 (THEREUPON, a lunch recess was taken). 22 CHAIR WINE: Mr. Gleason. 23 MR. GLEASON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION 25

BY MR. GLEASON:

- Q. Mr. Blundell, the device in front of you, if that's going to become the property of the customer, is the customer going to be subject to a term of commitment for service with your company?
- A. I misspoke prior to the break. The unit does not become, does not fall under the ownership of the customer.
- Q. Oh, okay. It does remain as mobile as you have previously suggested, I assume?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. If you receive Kansas universal service fund support for supplying wireless service to a customer in the service area of an independent company, take that as a given, okay? You have someone who calls you up for service from the next service area over, which is not supported, how do you differentiate whether or not you can provide that service and bill the fund for it?
- A. Uh-huh. First of all, we would not be able to provide them the universal service package. And we are establishing within the company mechanisms to make those differentiations between not only customers in different exchanges but also zones within an exchange. And we are working with Staff at the Commission, at the Commission's direction, to resolve some of those administrative implementation issues.

Q. Okay. And how have they been resolved?

- A. It's going quite well. We had a meeting last week to begin those discussions and a meeting again I believe next week. And things are going quite well actually.
- Q. Well, I'm glad to know they are going quite well.

 But the question is not how are they going, but how are they being resolved. In what manner do you anticipate resolving those concerns?

MR. AYOTTE: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to object to the question as being irrelevant. The Commission's Order 6 or 7 already has a procedure in place for Western Wireless and Sprint PCS to deal with some of these KUSF implementation issues, including the filing of a report back to the Commission with an opportunity for all parties to comment. It's a funding issue relating to KUSF distribution, not a relevant consideration for the public interest.

CHAIR WINE: Mr. Gleason.

MR. GLEASON: I would like to respond by noting the Commission said everything is relevant to the determination of the public interest in this proceeding. And moreover, if the total amount of KUSF funding is not at issue and not a matter of public interest, I don't know what is.

CHAIR WINE: Well, at this point I don't think we intend to restrict too unduly the scope of cross-examination.

Let's -- you may renew your objection if it appears as though

EXAM BY MR. GLEASON WITNESS: BLUNDELL 1 we have gone way off track, however. 2 MR. AYOTTE: Thank you. 3 Α. So if you could repeat the question. How do you anticipate a functional capability to 4 0. 5 guard against that abuse of the system? 6 Α. To the same extent that any carrier really ought to that's receiving universal service support. We will have 7 mechanisms in place, with the blessing of the Commission as 8 9 well as Staff, to guard against them, no different than any other carrier, those that you represent for instance. 10 Well, it's not -- I think you would agree with me 11 Q. 12 that it's not very difficult to know where a copper line is, 13 correct? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 Q. You know in the case of a wire line based company 16 where the service is being provided to, don't you? 17 The same, it's the same that we know where the 18 universal service offering is being provided to a residential address. 19 20 Q. Okay. And the trunk of the car that parks at that residential address sometimes, wherever that trunk of a car 21 22 might be, correct? A. Well, the service isn't -- I mean, the trunk of a car parked at the residential address? I don't get -- I

23

24

25

don't understand the question.

- Q. Okay. You have suggested that that unit in front of you is quite portable?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. It could be put in a car?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. It could be taken someplace else?
- A. Sure.

- Q. Do you have any way of knowing whether somebody is not going to order, get a post office box in a community served by a rural company, contact you, say I want some of that 14.99 unlimited mobile service, get that unit from you, regardless of where they actually live, and receive the service with KUSF support?
 - A. Yes, we do.
 - Q. How do you know that?
- A. When the customer, the customer requests the service, we actually send -- two things happen. The customer fills out a form, including their residential street address. We send a technician to every single home to install, to deliver the wireless access unit, make sure that service is sufficient. There is a verification process that goes on there that that is indeed the residential address that the customer signed up for.
 - Q. How does that verification process work?
 - A. There is an application that includes the

EXAM BY MR. GLEASON BLUNDELL WITNESS: residential street address and a customer service technician goes to the customer's home to verify that that is, that it's the same address. Well, you have said you verify by verifying now. All you know is that there is an address and that's the address put down on the form and somebody goes there and says, yeah, compares the handwritten address to the street number on the front door? Α. That's right. And says okay? Q. That's right. Α. And then the unit goes wherever it goes? Q. The unit is portable and mobile. Α. Uh-huh. Q. The residential street address is the address that Α. we will use to determine where that customer falls geographically. Aren't you severely under-estimating the ability of certain segments of the telephone customer public to game the system? Your word game, my word putting into place Α. mechanisms to ensure that to the same extent other carriers

can't game the system. We are making sure that that's not

Through any method other than those you have

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

going to happen.

Q.

described?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Is the Applicant willing to condition its initial and continuing receipt of KUSF support on that being an adequate satisfactory and sufficient means of assuring against that kind of an abuse?

MR. AYOTTE: I'm going to object to the form of the question as being irrelevant. As I stated previously, Mr. Chairman, the Commission's order seeks recommendations from Staff and the Applicants which will be subject to comment and will be subject to the Commission's determinations as to what are the appropriate mechanisms. The position of the company is and will be that which the Commission determines to be a sufficient mechanism will be that which we are subject to. Beyond that, the question is purely irrelevant.

CHAIR WINE: Mr. Gleason, do you have a response?

MR. GLEASON: I'm trying to ascertain whether or not in terms of competitive neutrality the Commission is going to have the same authority to assure appropriate use of KUSF funds for the Applicant as it's going to have as to existing incumbent providers.

CHAIR WINE: And I believe that our order, previous orders have addressed the specific procedures that will be followed. I think it's appropriate for you to explore this issue generally, to raise in this hearing these issues

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MR. GLEASON

generally about the need to provide that kind of protection.

I'm not sure how much more you are going to get from this

witness since those other procedures haven't been established

yet by the Commission. So can you help me with where you

intend to go today?

MR. GLEASON: I think as a general matter we might suggest to the Commission that one of the determinations that needs to be taken into consideration in deciding the public interest is whether or not the Commission has effective ability to assure that the claims and representations made by the Applicant are necessarily going to prove to be true in the real world after certification or approval is granted. Anybody can make a promise. The question is whether or not there is any enforcement mechanism that's effective to assure compliance with those promises after the fact. And we believe that's an issue that affects the public interest.

CHAIR WINE: But I think our previous orders indicated that that's going to be established by the Commission at a later time. So that if the Commission wasn't satisfied, in other words, if it was impossible to accomplish that, presumably there would be no Commission approval of the procedure suggested.

MR. GLEASON: I understand, Mr. Chairman. My question is whether or not -- the line of questioning is whether or not the Applicant is willing to commit to that

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MR. GLEASON 1 process to be bound by the determinations that the Commission 2 makes as a condition of receipt of support. CHAIR WINE: I don't know that they have a choice. 3 MR. GLEASON: I don't either, Mr. Chairman, but if 5 we are going to spend a whole bunch of time litigating it after the fact when they get in and say you have already 6 declared me an ETC, now give me the money, I don't know that 7 that supports the public interest. We will move on. 8 9 CHAIR WINE: Thank you. You are here seeking designation as an ETC in 10 Ο. certain specific areas, ASAP, right? 11 Α. That's correct. 12 You would like to receive it as a result of this 13 0. 14 hearing, correct? 15 Α. Yes. You have represented that one of the benefits to 16 the public interest is lower prices from competition? 17 Yes, we think lower prices will result definitely. 18 Α. Okay. Are you familiar with the prices charged for 19 Q. universal service offerings by the rural companies in Kansas? 20 I'm -- sitting here today, no, I couldn't recite Α. 21 them. I'm vaguely familiar. And more important, when we 22 23 actually receive the designation, that analysis will -- the company will conduct that analysis on an exchange-by-exchange 24 basis to make all kinds of determinations about local calling 25

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MR. GLEASON area and pricing, etcetera. You know what areas you are applying for designation in already? Uh-huh. Α. You have known that for some time, correct? Q. Α. Correct. Is there anything that's impeded your ability to perform those studies and to price those services before this proceeding? Until we are designated and, frankly, in many of Α. the states where we have applied, the process has gone on for upwards now of 18 months. Until we are designated that sort of analysis really isn't fruitful if the objective is to use it in the deployment of the service. So until we know that there is a designation, that sort of analysis, it doesn't help us to frame the actual pricing and the service offering other than what I have testified to. You are able to study the market now before Q. designation, aren't you? Α. Yes. You are able to ascertain what the local rates are Ο. that are presently charged in that market? Α. Yes. All right. But you want the Commission to take it

on faith that whatever your process after designation might

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 | result in is going to be lower than something?

- A. Well, in order to actually sell the service to customers in a competitive environment, it's got to be priced at a, it's got to be priced competitively and we will do that.
- Q. But you have said in your testimony that one of the benefits is lower prices, right?
 - A. Uh-huh.

- O. Lower than what?
- A. Lower than, at or below the incumbent's price.
- Q. Do I understand your testimony that you are undertaking a commitment to this Commission that if you are granted ETC status you will offer the service, the universal service you are talking about at or below the price charged by the incumbent in each of the areas for which you are approved?
- A. Not necessarily. But the overall objective and, frankly, in every market where we have launched we have been able to -- well, in most of the markets that we have launched, we have been able to be extremely competitive. And the key that needs to be factored into that pricing is that with an expanded local calling area, the customer doesn't pay toll for a lot of calls that they would pay toll on on the land line. So an expanded local calling area, mobility and a competitive price is definitely something that customers

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MR. GLEASON And, yes, I'm making the commitment to this Commission that our service offering will provide all of those features that are attractive to customers. Otherwise, if we don't and customers don't want our service, there will be no, there will be no customer to report for purposes of subsidy. So it's a matter of customer choice? What's a matter of customer choice? Α. The ability to select the offering that you are Q. proposing to put out in the market. That's one of the benefits is that you will give customers a choice? Α. Absolutely. Okay. Let's talk about that. Did I understand in Q. your direct testimony that you do not propose to offer equal access to long distance carriers? Equal access in the sense that -- in which sense? Α. In the sense of 1+ presubscription for toll Q. carriers of the customer's choice? That's right. A customer can use dial-around to access the long distance carrier of their choice, but not on a 1+ basis. Not a 1+ presubscription? Q. Α. Right. Either intraLATA or interLATA, would that be correct? If they want 1+ they are going to have to take the

carrier of your choice. Is that right?

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WITNESS: BLUNDELL EXAM BY MR. GLEASON 1 Α. That's correct. 2 Q. Okay. At 10 cents a minute. Α. So in exchange for the choice of local providers, 4 5 you would require the customer to give up one or two choices 6 of presubscribed long distance provider. Is that correct? 7 MR. AYOTTE: I'm going to object to this line of questioning as being legally irrelevant. CMRS providers are 8 not required under federal law 332(c)(8), not required to 9 provide equal access to long distance providers. Similarly, 10 11 equal access is not a supported service under FCC Rule 54.101. It is access to interexchange service. And the 12 Commission has already determined we meet that requirement. 13 14 The whole line of questioning regarding equal access is irrelevant. 15 16 CHAIR WINE: I agree with your legal conclusions 17 but he is exploring the public interest. So I think this 18 line of questioning is appropriate. 19 MR. GLEASON: Thank you. Actually the answer is the customer will have 20 Α. access, using somewhat slightly different --21 22 Q. No, no, that's the answer that you want to give to 23 a question other than the one I asked. 24 Α. Actually it was a direct answer. 25 Q. The question I asked is whether or not it is true

BLUNDELL EXAM BY MR. GLEASON WITNESS: that in exchange for the choice of the local service provider 1 you will require the customer to give up the choice of either 2 one or two presubscribed long distance providers? 3 Again, actually the customer can choose using a somewhat different dialing pattern on the phone to access 5 long distance. Q. That's not 1+ presubscription, is it? Α. That wasn't the question. 8 9 Q. That was my question. Okay. 10 Α. And you would require the customer to give up that 11 Ο. choice in exchange for the choice of local service, correct? 12 If, you know, if you want to characterize it that 13 Α. way. I would characterize it differently. 14 Is that a yes? 15 0. It's -- I would characterize it differently. Α. 16 I'm not asking for a characterization. I'm asking 17 Ο. for a simple response to a direct question. 18 Well, I have given the answer and I think it's 19 Α. answered the question. 20 MR. GLEASON: Mr. Chairman. 21 CHAIR WINE: I'm not sure that he has answered the 22 23 question. I think we know what the answer to the question is. I think we have established that point. MR. GLEASON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

24